| 1 | JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney |
|--|---|
| 2 | BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division |
| | |
| WEN P. MARTIKAN (CSBN 177104) Assistant United States Attorneys 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 | |
| | 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 |
| 6 | San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7241 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 |
| 7 | Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 owen.martikan@usdoj.gov |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 9 | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 12 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION |
| 13 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No.: 3-08-70174 JCS |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [RROROSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME |
| 16 | v. |
| 17 | JOSE MEDINA, |
| 18 |) Defendant. |
| 19 | Defendant. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | On April 14, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a preliminary |
| 22 | hearing. At that time, the parties requested and the Court agreed to continue the hearing to |
| 23 | April25, 2008. The parties further stipulated that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal |
| 24 | Procedure (FRCP) 5.1(d), the time limits set forth in FRCP 5.1(c) be excluded from April 14, |
| 25 | 2008, to and including April 25, 2008. The parties agree that – taking into account the public |
| 26 | interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases – good cause exists for this extension. Defendan |
| 27 | also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under Title 18, United |
| 28 | States Code, Section 3161. This continuance is the reasonable time necessary for continuity of |
| | STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO. CR 3-08-70174 JCS |

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |

defense counsel and effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). SO STIPULATED: JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorned Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Jose Medina XRROROSEM ORDER For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that an exclusion of time from April 14, 2008, to and including April 25, 2008, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny Mr. Medina continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). SO ORDERED. April 16, 2008 United States Magistrate Judge